```
0001
 1
                         Proceedings
 2
         NEW YORK CITY TEACHERS' RETIREMENT SYSTEM
 5
                     INVESTMENT MEETING
 6
 7
    Held on Thursday, November 1, 2018, at 55 Water
    Street, New York, New York
10
   ATTENDEES:
11
12
     JOHN ADLER, Chairman, Trustee
    THOMAS BROWN, Trustee DEBRA PENNY, Trustee
13
14
15
     ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ, BERS
     SUSANNAH VICKERS, Trustee, Comptroller's Office
16
17
      DAVID KAZANSKY, Trustee
18
     THAD McTIGUE, Teachers' Retirement System
   MELVYN AARONSON, Teachers' Retirement System JOHN DORSA, Comptroller's Office
20
21
22
    REPORTED BY:
23
   YAFFA KAPLAN
     JOB NO. 2467345
24
25
0002
1
                         Proceedings
   ATTENDEES (Continued):
    SUSAN STANG, Teachers' Retirement System
      RON SWINGLE, Teachers' Retirement System
 5
     ROBIN PELLISH, Rocaton
     MICHAEL FULVIO, Rocaton
 7
      THAD McTIGUE, Teachers' Retirement System
 8
      VALERIE BUDZIK, Teachers' Retirement System
     LIZ SANCHEZ, Teachers' Retirement System
9
     SAM RUMLEY, Office of the Actuary
10
     DAVID LEVINE, Groom Law Group
11
12
      CYNTHIA COLLINS, Mayor's Office
13
     SUMANTE RAY, Mayor's Office
14
     PAUL RAUCCI, Teachers' Retirement System
15
     SANFORD RICH
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
2.3
24
25
```

```
0003
 1
                    Proceedings
 2
           MR. ADLER: Good morning, everyone.
           MR. KAZANSKY: Good morning.
           MR. ADLER: Thank you. Welcome to the
 5
     Teachers' Retirement System of the City of New
 6
     York investment meeting on the first Thursday
 7
     of November, November 1, 2018.
           Thad, will you please call the roll?
 9
           MR. McTIGUE: John Adler?
10
           MR. ADLER: I am here.
11
           MR. McTIGUE: Thomas Brown?
12
           MR. BROWN: Here.
13
           MR. McTIGUE: David Kazansky?
14
           MR. KAZANSKY: Present.
15
           MR. McTIGUE: Lindsey Oates? Debra
16
     Penny?
17
           MS. PENNY: Here.
18
           MR. McTIGUE: Susannah Vickers?
19
           MS. VICKERS: Here.
20
           MR. McTIGUE: We have a quorum.
21
           MR. ADLER: Thank you, Thad, and with
22
     that we will turn it over to Rocaton to take
23
     us away on our public agenda.
24
           MR. FULVIO: Great. Good morning,
25
     everyone. So we will begin with September and
0004
                    Proceedings
 1
 2
     talk through a little bit on a high level what
 3
     the markets did, what that translated in terms
     of performance of the Passport Funds.
 5
           Obviously, at the end of September, we
 6
     started seeing a little bit more volatility in
 7
     the markets and that's persisted into October,
     -- through October I should say. At the end
     of -- I should say for the month of September,
10
     you will recall the US equity markets had a
11
    modest positive return for about positive 20
12
    basis points. In developed markets outside
13
     the US, we saw actually a stronger
14
     environment, returns just shy of 1 percent
15
     there, positive 1 percent, and then in
16
     emerging markets continued weakness as we have
17
     seen throughout the year. The emerging
18
     markets proxy for the system was down about 70
19
     basis points during the month of September.
20
           So all told, as you will note, the
21
     performance figure, the Diversified Equity
22
    Fund actually kept pace with the equity
23
    market. It had a return about positive .2
24
    percent relative to the hybrid benchmark that
25
     was pretty much in line as well. Year to
```

1 Proceedings

date, that brought the Diversified Equity Fund's return -- calendar year to date that is -- to positive 7.3 percent, and for the same time period, the broad US equity market was up about 10.6 percent and the hybrid benchmark up about 7.7 percent. Really again, what drove returns during the month of September was, you know, modestly more positive performance from nonUS.

And then as far as the other parts of the program, you know, year to date, the defensive composite up about 4.4 percent nonUS markets, as a whole slightly negative, and in absolute returns performance from obviously passive and the actively managed US equity composite, you know, more in line with the broad US equity markets. There are some relative underperformance from the active US sleeve in the fund.

For the Balanced Fund for the month, during September that fund was down about 9 basis points roughly in line with its benchmark. That brought the calendar year-to-date return for that fund to about

Proceedings

positive 90 basis points. The International Equity Fund with positive returns of about 60 basis points for the month, the calendar year-to-date return for there was down about 1.7 percent roughly in line with its benchmark.

The Inflation Protection Fund, the return for September was positive to the tune of about 10 basis points. Year to date that fund is up about 1.3 percent, and the Socially Responsive Fund having a tough month in September, down about 80 basis points versus the S & P, which was up by about half of a percent. The year-to-date return for that option is plus 9 percent versus the S & P at about plus 10.6 percent.

So I will pause there and see if there are any questions on September.

 $\mbox{MR. ADLER:} \mbox{ Any questions for Mike?}$ Okay.

MR. FULVIO: So I noted the increased volatility in the month of October.

MR. KAZANSKY: We noticed.

MS. STANG: Skip to the resolved.

Proceedings

MR. FULVIO: You know what? I wish we had more at this point but unfortunately we don't with it just being the first day after the month was how active management did more broadly during the month, and generally speaking, hopefully the volatility helps managers differentiate themselves, active managers differentiate themselves versus the broad market, and we will certainly report back on that as we get more information, but in the US, you can see through Tuesday the US equity market measured by the Russell 3 was down by about 8.4 percent.

Yesterday was a positive day in the markets to the tune of about 1.45 percent, so that number should be a little bit better for the month but calendar year to date through October 30th, the US equity markets were only up 1.3 percent, so October as you will note erased a lot of strong numbers we saw so far during 2018.

The International Composite Benchmark, that was down about 9.3 percent, and what drove returns there was pretty consistent $\frac{1}{2}$

Proceedings

underperformance or negative numbers, if you will, across developed and emerging markets. And then in the small cap space, small cap was down a little bit more than the broad market there. Small cap down in nonUS about 11 percent. The underlying benchmark for the defensive strategies composite, you can see there down by about 6.6 percent, so we would expect to see some downside protection as we would have hoped from that part of the program. Calendar year to date, that composite is up about 1 -- about 3 percent.

The Balanced Fund benchmark we will expect to see down about 2.6 percent for the month. And then as we look at the other options, the Inflation Protection Fund's underlying strategy was down about 1.3 percent probably with a flat calendar year-to-date return for that fund. The Socially Responsive Equity Fund's underlying strategy down about 8.3 percent and probably looking at a zero year-to-date return for that strategy.

So I will pause there.

0009 Proceedings

MR. ADLER: Any questions about October?

Any answers about October?

MR. FULVIO: No answers.

MR. ADLER: Okay.

MR. FULVIO: So the next item on the agenda is verbal update with respect to the review of the screens for emerging markets. At this point in the process, we have talked to three providers out there that we think could partner — serve as partners with the System to structure a process that allows the System to review and evaluate the portfolios so that you can ensure that the belief statements statement is, you know, filtering into the portfolio so that there aren't any I would say significant positions in the portfolios that are notably contrary to what you would like to see given those beliefs.

So at this point we have actually gotten proposals from two of those three providers, and I think, you know, we will continue to work with them to refine, but what stands out I think being a very key part of this process is leaning on that provider to sit around the

25 0010 1

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Proceedings

2 table with us and provide insight as to how 3 they would envision synthesizing the beliefs -- the thoughts and the beliefs statement into 5 points of evaluation and scoring the underlying portfolio holdings. So think of 6 7 these providers as having all the data metrics and scoring metrics to track portfolio or holdings if you will on a variety of different 10 factors. What are the factors and at what 11 weight do we need to create a process so that 12 the lens with which you review the portfolio 13 is structured around the beliefs statement? 14 So I think that's going to be an iterative 15 process, and we look forward to helping these 16 providers refine their proposals a little bit 17 more further but also working with the Board 18 to provide some of the insight on how we 19 refine that process and construct that 20 process, and then I think another key input 21 will be, you know, how we define the review 22 working with the managers so that they can 23 understand, you know, given the TRS beliefs 24 what are we seeing in their portfolios today 25 that might otherwise lie outside, you know, of

Proceedings

where we want to be. And allowing managers to understand that process I think is very important.

So in our minds, the next step is we are hoping to get a proposal from the third provider as well, and then what we will do is talk through with the Board the different proposals. At this point the cost seems it's more modest and minimal and we wouldn't expect this to be, you know, something that would be of significant cost to the System, but looking at the proposals, understanding how they intend to work with the Board and maybe informing them better as to how, you know, we can get the beliefs statement into their process for evaluating the portfolios. So --

MS. VICKERS: I'm sorry if we had already decided this, but I don't recall how we thought we would address the passive portfolio because this kind of scenario would work for active managers. And then in terms of the index providers, did we talk --

MS. PELLISH: We did not. I think it's an interesting and an important question, but

Proceedings

it has not been addressed yet. We have been focusing on the active managers, but that's clearly something that should be addressed.

MS. VICKERS: So maybe once we have our active strategy more thought out, then maybe we do passive.

MS. PELLISH: Yes.

MR. KAZANSKY: So one thing that I was noticing as we have been having this discussion over the past however many investment meetings was the concern that we have of making sure that whatever this company is going to do on our behalf and do for us is going to truly represent the thoughts and feelings of this board, so I think maybe it would be a good idea to have like a working group put together to kind of define what the process is going to be and make sure that we are all comfortable with what this screen is actually going to look like and how it's going to operate, and then we can bring that back to the Board for discussion and consideration.

MS. PELLISH: I think that would be helpful to us because it would give us a group

```
0013
 1
                    Proceedings
    that we could bounce ideas off of rather than
 2
    having to right now. Otherwise, the Board
     ends up being the working group, so I think
 4
 5
     that would be helpful to us as we work through
 6
     the process.
 7
           MR. ADLER: Should we -- I am looking
 8
     down here, but the trustees are all down here
 9
     today. Anyone disagree with the idea of
10
     creating a working group? Okay. So should we
11
     create the working group right now? Should we
12
     decide who is on it?
13
           MR. BROWN: Yes.
14
           MR. ADLER: I would like to volunteer to
15
    be on it. Would anybody else like to
     volunteer to be on it or should I pick
16
17
    volunteers? That's a joke.
18
           MS. VICKERS: I will volunteer.
19
           MR. ADLER: One of you guys. Mr.
20
    Kazansky?
21
           MR. KAZANSKY: I volunteer.
22
           MR. ADLER: So the working group will be
23
     Dave Kazansky, Susannah Vickers, and John
24
     Adler. Okay.
25
           MR. FULVIO: So we will coordinate maybe
0014
1
                    Proceedings
 2
     at the next step discussion, maybe again we
 3
     will also have the third proposal, and we
     could review those together and at some point
 5
     even bring the providers in to speak with the
 6
    working group.
 7
           MS. VICKERS: I will bring this up to
    the working group, but just to let everyone
     else know, I would like to somehow involve BAM
     emerging markets staff, Tatiana and John
10
11
    Merseburg, thinking about this with the other
12
    boards, so they can be ad hoc observers or
13
     something.
14
           MR. ADLER: Great.
15
           MS. PELLISH: Thank you.
16
           MR. ADLER: Excellent. Okay. Anything
17
     else on emerging markets? I think you guys
     have another item on our public agenda.
18
19
           MR. FULVIO: This is an informational
20
     update, and it's something that I know we have
21
     spoken about with the Board in the past. But
22
     we think it's helpful to periodically provide
23
     an update and a refresher just in terms of,
24
     you know, what's going on in the background
```

from a process standpoint when it comes to

25

Proceedings

reviewing the managers, ensuring when we are staying on top of them and understanding not only what's going on with respect to their firms but what's going on with respect to their portfolio. It's helpful to their outlooks over time, and then as exceptions have come up in the past or updates have come up, we brought them up to the Board but we try to keep that on a more of an exception basis, so this is focused more on the Passport Funds as BAM has a separate process that we coordinate with them on, and so this is the process that Rocaton and TRS has worked on together with respect to the Passport Funds, and there is two things that sort of happen in an ongoing way.

We have an annual manager -- a more formal sitdown with each manager where TRS staff and Rocaton, we run through a roster -- the roster of managers on an annual basis, and then there is also ad hoc meetings that happen between Rocaton's research group and the managers directly and TRS staff sort of off cycle, but we wanted to talk a little bit more

Proceedings

about this annual touchpoint that we don't have a stated policy, but it's become the process that we have honored for --

MS. PELLISH: -- many years.

MR. FULVIO: Many years.

MS. STANG: A decade at least.

MR. FULVIO: At least. So you know, as part of that process, as I mentioned it's not just talking to the firms about what's going on, but also leveraging some of the quantitative analysis that we produced at Rocaton and talking through that with TRS to understand what's going on in the portfolio and framing the performance and the holdings in terms of is this what we would expect from a manager, and if there is something that appears to be outside the range of expectations as we go through sort of a punch list of things we want to cover off with the managers. So there is a lot of words on page 2 that I think explain that.

Slide 3 provides a little bit more detail in more words that try to outline what it is we are looking for with respect to the

Proceedings

managers. So for example, when there is active managers whose performance has been poor on a relative basis, you know, using the analytics to try and identify what's going on with the performance but also using that as a tool to talk to the managers and helping us understand why or why not they are performing in line with our expectations and their expectations, and so I think that allows us to have a more robust discussion with the managers and using attribution and different ways of measuring risk to evaluate what's going on, and I think where we had, you know, really notable discussions in the past has led to us bringing recommendations back to the Board.

I think maybe the most significant outcome of these discussions in recent years was the move to performance-based fees and so that's an example. We made countless manager changes over the years as a result of these regular discussions, and I think I would be remiss if I didn't say that, you know, if we bring something to the Board that stands out

Proceedings

as being an exception when a manager then -we don't have a formal watch list for the
Passport Funds but when a manager is something
that we are, you know, trying to focus on and
the performance has been particularly
disappointing, we will have a lot more
touchpoints with them and sort of run through
this process on a more regular basis to
monitor for change.

So I didn't want to sit here and read word for word on the page, but we wanted to provide just a reminder that this process is ongoing. So far this year we met with I want to say every manager except those in the defensive composite. As you will recall, we were in the process of making structural changes to that composite. We are planning to meet with the rest of those managers later this year.

Susan, anything you want to add?

MS. BUDZIK: I would just say the context on the QPP side hiring managers, it's governed by the City's procurement rules. The specific statutory exemption for the TDA

Proceedings

managers from the PPB rules, so what Mike is describing is the process used by Rocaton to hire and monitor managers, and we do have a fair number of managers whose contracts are coming up for renewal. So that we thought it was a good idea to remind the Board of the review process and monitoring process for our managers.

MR. ADLER: Can I ask a question just about the contract renewals? Presumably there are some managers that have reached -- and maybe this doesn't happen in TDA. This is really my question. With QPP we have like a certain number of renewals, and then we have to do a new search; is that true with TDA too, or can we just renew them indefinitely?

MS. STANG: Valerie should probably answer this, but no, since we are not subject to, I mean, the same procurement rules. We don't have to do a new search for a certain group of managers every nine years.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MS.}}$ PELLISH: They are evergreen contracts.

MS. STANG: Well, they are three-year

Proceedings

contracts that can be renewed without having to go through --

MS. BUDZIK: We can enter a new contract without having to go through a formal procurement process.

MS. STANG: Yes and so that's why the review process and the fact that it's not just we renewed a contract without thinking about it. We think about these managers all the time, like once a year formally with Rocaton. Rocaton meets with them separately, we meet with them separately, and you know, depending on how well they are doing or not well they are doing, they may hear from us more regularly.

MR. ADLER: Understood and just on the three-year contract expirations, do those renewals -- are they subject to Board approval or does the staff do that on its own?

MS. STANG: We bring the manager renewals to the Board. Like in executive session, there will be three manager renewals, those three that will come up between now and the end of the year and so it's yes.

```
0021
 1
                    Proceedings
          MR. ADLER: Understood. I just wanted
 2
    to clarify. Thank you.
           That's the end of your presentation,
 5
    Mike? Any further questions for Rocaton or
    for the staff on this review process? Okay.
 7
    Good. Thanks.
           So that concludes our public agenda for
 9
     today; am I correct?
10
          MS. PELLISH: Yes.
          MR. ADLER: Very good so I think a
11
12
    motion to go into executive session would be
13
    in order.
14
                      I move pursuant to Public
          MS. PENNY:
15
    Officers Law Section 105 to go into executive
16
    session for discussion on specific investment
17
    matters.
18
          MR. ADLER: Thank you, Debra. Is there
19
    a second?
20
          MR. KAZANSKY: Second.
21
          MR. ADLER: Thank you, Dave.
22
    discussion?
23
          All in favor of the motion to exit
24
     public session and enter executive session,
25
    please say aye. Aye.
0022
1
                    Proceedings
 2
          MS. VICKERS: Aye.
 3
          MS. PENNY: Aye.
          MR. KAZANSKY: Aye.
 5
          MR. BROWN: Aye.
          MR. ADLER: All opposed, please say nay.
 6
 7
    Any abstentions? The motion carries.
          MR. ADLER: All right. We are in
     executive session.
10
    (Whereupon, the meeting went into Executive Session.)
11
          MR. ADLER: Very good. Any discussion?
12
    All in favor of the motion to exit executive
13
     session and go back into public session,
14
     please say aye. Aye.
15
          MS. VICKERS: Aye.
16
          MS. PENNY: Aye.
17
          MR. KAZANSKY: Aye.
18
          MR. BROWN: Aye.
19
          MR. ADLER: All opposed, please say nay.
20
     Any abstentions? Motion carries.
21
           We are back in public session. Susan,
22
     will you report out of executive session?
23
          MS. STANG: Certainly. In executive
24
     session, a manager update was presented and
2.5
     several contract renewals were discussed.
```

```
0023
 1
                      Proceedings
 2
            MR. ADLER: Thank you. I believe that
     concludes our business for today. Is there a
 4
     motion to adjourn?
            MS. VICKERS: So moved.
 5
 6
            MR. ADLER: Is there a second?
 7
            MR. KAZANSKY: Second.
 8
            MR. ADLER: Thank you. Any discussion?
 9
     All in favor of the motion to adjourn, please
10
     say aye.
                Aye.
11
            MS. VICKERS: Aye.
12
            MS. PENNY: Aye.
13
            MR. KAZANSKY: Aye.
14
            MR. BROWN: Aye.
15
            MR. ADLER: It is unanimous. The
16
     meeting is adjourned.
17
            (Time noted: 10:44 a.m.)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
0024
1
                            Proceedings
 2
                      \texttt{C} \ \texttt{E} \ \texttt{R} \ \texttt{T} \ \texttt{I} \ \texttt{F} \ \texttt{I} \ \texttt{C} \ \texttt{A} \ \texttt{T} \ \texttt{E}
 3
    STATE OF NEW YORK
                             )
 4
                             : ss.
 5
     COUNTY OF QUEENS
                             )
 6
 7
                  I, YAFFA KAPLAN, a Notary Public
 8
           within and for the State of New York, do
 9
           hereby certify that the foregoing record of
10
           proceedings is a full and correct
11
           transcript of the stenographic notes taken
12
           by me therein.
13
                  IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
14
           set my hand this 13th day of November,
15
           2018.
16
17
18
                               YAFFA KAPLAN
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```